



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,420	10/13/2000		Peter Joseph Rock	13DV13812	8491
29399	7590	05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. B	EULICK	_	CHEN, CHONGSHAN		
		TEASDALE LLP AN SQUARE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2600				2172	1.2
ST. LOUIS,	ST. LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

À

		Ď	
r 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	:)
	09/687,420	ROCK ET AL.	1/~
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chongshan Chen	2172	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO	ONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 March 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) □ objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	** *		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ments have been received. ments have been received in A	pplication No	ge
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		-,

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Request for Continued Examination, filed on 29 March 2004. This action is non-final. Claims 1-18 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haq et al. ("Haq", 6,275,812) in view of Tuttle (6,591,246).

As per claim 1, Haq disclosing a method for determining candidates to interview, said method comprising the steps of:

providing pre-determined desired qualities for a candidate (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36, "ISDRM allows specific job functions (roles and responsibilities) in a specialty to be identified by a skills template. A skill template basically identifies the relevant importance of each skill (Weights) and the skill level (Index) required in each skill to perform a job function. ... A

Application/Control Number: 09/687,420

Art Unit: 2172

template basically identifies what skill levels are required and what is their importance for a job function");

determining if the candidate possesses at least one of a plurality of independent characteristics (Haq, Fig. 5, col. 6, lines 1-67);

generating a database including at least one characteristic for each candidate wherein the at least one characteristic is correlative to the desired qualities (Haq, Fig. 2, ISDRM Database);

normalizing the characteristics, normalizing includes comparing a total number of characteristics, possessed by the candidate, of a combination of characteristics that determine each desired quality, to a total number of possibly possessed characteristics for the desired quality, and assigning a value to each desired quality based on the comparison (Haq, Fig. 5-10, col. 6, lines 5-50, "Suitability Skill Index Average (SSIA) gives a measure of the suitability of an employee for an assignment. To assess the suitability of an employee, for a particular job assignment, his/her skills, from the skills assessment forms in the employee database are assessed against the given skills template for a job function. A suitability assessment form is generated for the employee in which the skill weights are duplicated from the skills template and the skill indices, for the employee, are copied from the Employee Database");

displaying results for each candidate based on the desired quality values (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43, "outputs of various of request/queries to the database").

Haq discloses select suitable employees (Haq, col. 5, lines 52-53), but does not disclose select to interview. However, it is well known that the hiring procedure includes selecting suitable candidates for interview. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select candidate to interview in the system of Haq

Art Unit: 2172

in order to decide which candidate is the most suitable candidate through the interview process if there are several candidates with same the high suitability weight value.

Haq does not explicitly disclose the desired qualities include at least two of analytical ability, self-confidence, initiative, change orientation, and interpersonal skills. Tuttle teaches desired qualities include at least two of analytical ability, self-confidence, initiative, change orientation, and interpersonal skills (Tuttle, col. 2, lines 60-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the desired qualities in the system of Haq in order to provide detailed information about a candidate. These detailed information will help a manager to better assess the candidate's suitability for the project.

As per claim 2, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further disclose storing the predetermined desired qualities for a candidate within the database, the desired qualities include analytical ability, self-confidence, initiative, change orientation, and interpersonal skills (Tuttle, col. 2, lines 60-67).

As per claim 3, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further disclose obtaining pre-determined desired qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36); and normalizing characteristics of each candidate with the pre-determined desired qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 6, lines 5-50).

As per claim 4, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further disclose summing the normalized characteristics of each candidate; and dividing the sum total of the normalized characteristics by a pre-determined value representing a total amount possible (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 5, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further disclose displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in at least one of a tabular output format and a graphical output format.

Claims 6-8 rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1-3.

As per claim 9, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 6, and further disclose

rank each candidate based on normalized characteristics (Haq, Fig. 11); and sum the normalized characteristics of each candidate (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 10, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 9, and further disclose divide the sum total of all normalized characteristics by an amount representing a pre-determined possible total (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 11, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 6, and further disclose displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in at least one of a tabular output format and a graphical output format.

Claims 12-13 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1-2.

As per claim 14, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 12, and further disclose normalizing the characteristics, said processor further programmed with pre-determined desired qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36).

Application/Control Number: 09/687,420

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 15, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 12, and further disclose normalize the characteristics, said processor further programmed to normalize candidate characteristics with known qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36).

As per claim 16, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 12, and further disclose summing the normalized characteristics of each candidate; and dividing the sum total of the normalized characteristics by an amount representing a predetermined possible total (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 17, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 16, and further disclose displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in a tabular output format.

As per claim 18, Haq and Tuttle teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 16, and further disclose displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in a graphical output format.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chongshan Chen whose telephone number is 703-305-8319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703)305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,420

Art Unit: 2172

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 29, 2004

SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER